

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/780,349	02/12/2001	Satoshi Wakasa	1921-0131P	8051
2292	7590 03/31/2004		EXAM	INER
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH			TRAN, HIEN THI	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1764	

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Andication No.	Applicant(s)
•	Application No.	
	09/780,349	WAKASA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Hien Tran	1764
The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sneet	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may tion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) No y statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed or     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)     Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	☐ This action is non-final.  allowance except for formal m	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex 10) The drawing(s) filed on 2/12/01 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	) accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abe correction is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for factorial a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents.	uments have been received. uments have been received in ne priority documents have be Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/12/01.	948) Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1764

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings have not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the drawings to comply with CFR 1.84(p)(5), e.g. they should include the reference sign(s) mentioned in the specification and vice versa.

#### Specification

2. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, line 2 "the reducing agent" lacks positive antecedent basis as it is merely referred to in an intended use clause (note claim 1). See claim 3 likewise.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 1764

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Krigmont et al (5,233,934).

Krigmont et al discloses a NOx removal system for use in a boiler comprising:

loading means serving for loading a reducing agent, such as urea or ammonia and provided at an outlet of a gas passageway in a boiler body; and a NOx removal catalyst 52 provided downstream of the loading means (col. 1, lines 35-47).

Instant claims 1-3 structurally read on the apparatus of Krigmont et al.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Krigmont et al (5,233,934).

Art Unit: 1764

The apparatus of Krigmont et al is substantially the same as that of the instant claims, but fails to disclose whether the loading means may load the reducing agent towards its upstream side.

However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select an appropriate orientation of the nozzle of the loading means, such as the one towards the flue gas as taught by Jones provided that it serves to load the reducing agent for NOx removal, and since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

#### Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hien Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1454. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1764

HT

March 19, 2004

then Iran

Hien Tran

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764